

10th PT/EQA Workshop - Windsor 2023

Report from WGs 4A +4B



Comparison of synthetic vs real PT items

- Convenors:
 - Erika Sárkány, QualiCont, Hungary
 - Owen Butler, Health and Safety Executive, UK
 - Heather Jordan, LGC Standards, USA
 - Raquel Múrtula Corbí, Ielab, Spain

Workshop Demographics

- Number of participants = 21 / 23
- PT/EQA providers = 19 / 15
 - Clinical (4 / 1), Food (7 / 11), Environmental (5 / 10)
 - Products (1 x fuels, 1x cosmetics, 1 x medical products 1 x forensic)
- PT/EQA end-users = 3 / 10
- AB = 1 / 2



What is understood to be the meaning of real and synthetic PT items?

- "An item with measurand but with no matrix" "Simulated"
- "An item with measurand and matrix" "Natural"
- Rainbow/Continuum synthetic samples with added matrix/parameters (e.g. viscosity); modified/treated (e.g. freeze-drying)
- Operationally defined how it is analysed defines it.
- Virtual real test items



Based upon your experience, in which area of testing are synthetic PT items most useful?

- More dependent on analytes and test items than sector though Industrial/product sectors may be easier to produce sufficient "natural" samples
- Where homogeneous samples can't be achieved naturally
- Lack of availability of analytes/levels in real world products
- Testing limits/concentrations of methods/regulations
- Where there are instability or transportation issues
- When degradation of analytes is a concern
- When the "true" value is especially important



What is the main reason(s) to use synthetic or real PT items?

- Deepening knowledge in the field e.g., a synthetic sample can provide information about the extraction efficiency
- Assessing precision element (benefit of synthetic)
- Assessing extraction step/matrix interferent (benefit of real)
- 'Real' world samples don't have always have appropriate analytes or concentrations
- Economic reasonable for necessary production levels
- Synthetic can provide increased level of control can tailor a sample to the specific need
- But real sample can provide more confidence in end-to-end process



Can synthetic PT items provide a realistic challenge to assess the performance of a laboratory's routine work?

- It depends on the aim and purpose of the PT needs to be clearly stated
- Need to "stress test" the system ISO 15189/17043 requires PT providers to challenge across the range of an analyte and to provide items as close as possible to everyday samples
- Two areas of concern with synthetic: the handling of the sample (preanalytical) and the second is ability to cheat the system/process (i.e., spikes)
- In most labs, the validation of methods are usually done with synthetic samples in reality
- Useful for looking certain measurement parameters precision estimates / Not useful if looking for say – extraction efficiency



What are the challenges in preparing synthetic PT items that mimic, as far as possible, the properties of real samples?

- Matrix matching issues Adding matrix, confounders, interferents to mimic real world challenges (e.g. extraction efficiency)
 - But this adds increased testing, potential for instability, adding contamination, and sometimes simply we
 don't know what to add (because we don't know always what is in real-world samples besides the
 measurand in question!
 - Adds additional risk in each step, source of errors
 - Tendency to over-complicate synthetic samples
 - But on the other hand, can provide an opportunity to simplify or control as well
- In clinical field, certain assays/test kits/devices respond differently to a matrix, so hard to produce synthetics that cover all devices.
- Making sure metabolites of interest are included
- Finding a "true" blank
- Working with very low concentrations
- Difficulty finding enough material to send to the participants
- Adding an additional handling step for participants that isn't reflective of normal lab practices