

10th PT/EQA Workshop - Windsor 2023

Report from WG1A



Revision of ISO/IEC 17043

- Convenors:
 - Monika Horsky (IAEA, Austria)
 - Brian Brookman (LGC, UK)



WG1A Members

- PT Providers 25
- Participants 12
- Accreditation Bodies 8
- Others none
- No PT provider has implemented the revised standard at this stage.
- Large majority had read the revised standard



- Based on your experience as a PT/EQA provider / participant / accreditation body:
 - a. Do you think the revised content of ISO/IEC 17043 appropriately addresses current practice?
 - b. What changes have been most welcome, and which have been less welcome?
 - c. Have the changes addressed the issues of concern in the 2010 version of ISO/IEC 17043?



a. Do you think the revised content of ISO/IEC 17043 appropriately addresses current practice?

Yes

No examples were provided where it doesn't



- b. What changes have been most welcome, and which have been less welcome?
- normative references to ISO/IEC 17025 and ISO 17034
 - Harmonization of what are "relevant requirements" likely to be an issue
 - Use of non-accredited service providers how to prove competence?
 - Wish for clear definition/distinction of RM vs fit-for-purpose PT item PT providers to justify
 - Debate about suitability/relevance of certain ISO 17034 requirements e.g. of uncertainty contributors (homogeneity, stability...), long-term stability
 - Will the mandatory use of ISO/IEC 17025 enforce more requirements, e.g. method validation?
 - E.g. homogeneity: only repeatability precision of relevance, not all validation parameters (e.g. measurement uncertainty)



- c. Have the changes addressed the issues of concern in the 2010 version of ISO/IEC 17043?
- Alignment in structure to other ISO 17000 series standards
- Risk-based approach
- Clarity about homogeneity assessment not necessarily by experimentation



- a. As a PT/EQA provider, which new or changed requirements of ISO/IEC 17043 do you feel will be most difficult to implement?
- b. As a PT/EQA participant, which new or changed requirements of ISO/IEC 17043 are most difficult to "understand"?
- c. As an accreditation body, which new or changed requirements of ISO/IEC 17043 do you feel will be most difficult to assess?



- a. As a PT/EQA provider, which new or changed requirements of ISO/IEC 17043 do you feel will be most difficult to implement?
- Surveillance requirement interpretation of requirement?
 - E.g., change of relative SD over time, failure rates...
 - Lessons learned from each scheme
 - How to implement it for single-round schemes?
 - Note in standards gives examples includes e.g. report submission
 - Monitoring performance of participants' performance vs. PT provider performance
 - Most important to monitor areas with highest risk
 - Happens within management system 'automatically' question on adequate proof
 - Case example: how to monitor shipment process in case of high risk
- Requirement to instruct participants to provide method information



- b. As a PT/EQA participant, which new or changed requirements of ISO/IEC 17043 are most difficult to "understand"?
- Terminology: client vs. customer vs. participant
 - Definitions are included
- 5.4 Structural requirements meet requirements of [....] and customers, regulators, ...
- 7.4.3.7 Certificates "shall not be misleading"
 - certificates should be "of participation" not "of performance"
- 7.3.5 Instructions to participants
 - fitness for purpose depends on scheme objective.
- Confidentiality of all information created during PT, possibly misleading wording
 - Advance notice to customers is required when making information public.



c. As an accreditation body, which new or changed requirements of ISO/IEC 17043 do you feel will be most difficult to assess?

• see question 2.a – surveillance requirement



Q3 Harmonization of implementation

- Based on your experience as a PT/EQA provider / participant / accreditation body:
 - a. Is the implementation of ISO/IEC 17043 harmonized and will the revised version improve the situation?
 - b. Which specific requirements of ISO/IEC 17043 are likely to need specific attention to achieve harmonized implementation/assessment?



Q3 Harmonization of implementation

- a. Is the implementation of ISO/IEC 17043 harmonized and will the revised version improve the situation?
- Acceptance of suppliers (of test results) who are not accredited?
 - It is not a requirement. Other proof of competence is possible.
 - Accreditation bodies can add policies, theoretically
- If PT provider does testing in-house assessment of ISO/IEC 17025 requirements is required → define relevant requirements
- Revised standard provides more flexibility risk for lower degree of harmonisation



Q3 Harmonization of implementation

- b. Which specific requirements of ISO/IEC 17043 are likely to need specific attention to achieve harmonized implementation/assessment?
- Addition of two normative references



Thank you to all working group participants