



6th PT/EQA Workshop – Rome 2008

Report from WG2





Developments in PT/EQA within the EU – what is required in future?

Convenors:

- Dr. Nineta Majcen (Metrology institute, SI)
- Dr. Philip Taylor (EC JRC IRMM, EU)

Objectives:

 Review how PT/EQA has been developed in the EU and consider future requirements and challenges





From PT providers' point of view

- In EU-15: less labs, PT providers towards internationalisation
- EU-12: more labs → growing number PT providers in EU-12
- If running an international PT, it gets cheaper (more customers because of implementation of EU legislation)
- If running an international PT within EU, easier to dispatch





Q1. As the EU has expanded in recent years how has PT/EQA developed, especially in new member states?

- From laboratories' point of view
 - Laboratories have more choices (market)
- From users'/authorities' point of view
 - EA is looking at PT results in order to assess the effectiveness of MLA
 - Because of the internationalisation in the area of PT accreditation of PT providers is in demand





Q2. What challenges has the expansion of the EU presented for PT/EQA, from the viewpoint of New/Old member states

- From PT providers' point of view, in EU-15:
 - Variety of methods is bigger
 - Reporting is more complicated because of wider local variations
 - Harmonisation according to sectors accross EU is taking place





Q2. What challenges has the expansion of the EU presented for PT/EQA, from the viewpoint of New/Old member states

- From laboratories' point of view, in EU-12:
 - Setting up PTs for some specific samples which are not avilable yet on the market and are specific for a country
 - Language
 - Cost
 - Finding a suitable PT (announcements of PTs e.g. via EPTIS)





Q2. What challenges has the expansion of the EU presented for PT/EQA, from the viewpoint of New/Old member states

- From authorities' point of view, in EU-27:
 - Understanding by the authorities of the role and importance of PT





Q3a. What can be learnt from the way that the infrastructure for PT/EQA has been developed throughout the EU?

The infrastructure has been developed *chaotically:*

- From a demand of a national authority
- As a result of research projects
- As a demand from (EU) legislation (e.g. CRL)
- As a need from industry





Q3b. Was it useful for implementation in new member states? From the viewpoint of: participants, PT providers

Ideally, it would be good to do it differently i.e. in a more systematic and proactive approach:

- By getting the authorities involved as a stakeholder
- Via examples of possible private-public iteraction
- By setting priorities at the national and EU level
- By providing more EU and national assistance for routine PT providers e.g. for validation (reference value)





Q4. What new requirements and challenges might there be in the future?

New requirements

- Harmonisation of the way PTs are done, common interpretation of evaluation criteria (sector specific)
- Language





Q4. What new requirements and challenges might there be in the future?

Challenges

- Convincing the authorities about the role and importance of PTs
- Some public funding at EU and at national level?
- Diversity of needs of customers
- PT provider's response time
- Educational dimension of PTs





Q5. Are the differences in regulations of each members state an obstacle to further developments within the EU?

No, the reason being that if any, then it is European legislation which includes PT related requirements, which must be implemented in a harmonised way in all countries.

Nobody was aware of any additional national legislation concerning this issue.





Q6. How can any new future requirements and challenges be realised?

- Prioritisation of PTs (in which areas they are needed, missing ones?)
- Far greater proactive approach towards national/European authorities is very much needed
- Combination of public and private funding is rarely explored
- Educational follow-up

Who should act proactively in a country?