



مركز الجودة للمختبرات الطبية  
Center for Quality in Medical Laboratories



*Uncertainty in Proficiency Testing Schemes:  
Center for Quality in Medical Laboratories (CQML)  
Study*

*An Overview  
By  
Ziyad Khmour  
Al-Quds University / Palestine  
Cyprus 20/2/2020*

1

## Outline

- Introduction
- Study design
- Results
- Interpretation
- Advantages and Problems
- Recommendation

2

## **Introduction:**

- Eurachem / CITAC Guide CG4: Uncertainty: “a parameter associated with the result of a measurement that characterizes the dispersion of the values attributed to the measurand”.
- In CQML: values are based on the robust consensus mean of the participants. As a result, robust consensus mean have an uncertainty originating from the testing conditions of laboratories and other factors.

3

## **Introduction cont.,**

- MU is not yet included in CQML report for participating lab.
- Lab Performance is based on comparing values for all methods not method groups.
- Future Plan: Lab Performance will be according to method groups.

4

### Study design:

In this study, the uncertainty of the robust mean of Glucose(mg/dl) and Alkaline phosphatase(U/l) results was checked during the year 2019. (8 samples)

- Calculation is based on ISO 13528
- Uncertainty = MU =  $1.25 \times SD / \sqrt{n}$
- $0.3 \times SD$  was used for comparison with MU

5

### Values of uncertainty for all methods

Sample #	Uncertainty Glucose	Uncertainty Alkaline Phosphatase
73	0.5	2.9
74	0.7	3.1
75	0.7	3.5
76	0.5	2.8
77	0.5	3.1
78	0.4	2.7
79	0.7	6.5
80	0.5	2.5
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>3.4</b>

6

### MU for Alkaline phosphatase/method

Method	Uncertainty	0.3×SD
Colorimetric	9.5	12.7
AMP, optimized to IFCC	7.8	11.9
Diethanolamine buffer, DEA	4.4	8.9
Tris/carbonate buffer	<b>26</b>	7.3
Ortho Vitros Microslide Systems	-	-
AMPD optimized to JSCC	<b>15.9</b>	11.9
Siemens/Dade Dimension, AMP buffer	<b>13.9</b>	7
AMP, reduced interference	<b>20.3</b>	9.8
AMP, non-optimized	<b>19.6</b>	12.1
Dry Chemistry	<b>8.2</b>	6
Other	5.9	12.3

7

### MU for Glucose / method

Method	Uncertainty	0.3 × SD
Oxidase/Peroxidase	0.8	2.5
Hexokinase	1.6	2.2
Glucose dehydrogenase	<b>5.3</b>	3.9
Other	1.4	2.3
GOD/02-Beckman method	<b>5.5</b>	3.4
Oxygen electrode	-	-
Agappe - GOD-PAP	1	2.6

8

## Interpretation :

- According to ISO/IEC 13528, if the uncertainty of the assigned value is smaller than the  $0.3 \times SD$  of the scheme, there is no need to include the uncertainty in the interpretation of the results of the proficiency scheme.
- Glucose and Alkaline phosphatase MU (averages) is less than  $0.3 \times SD$
- Uncertainty for some methods is larger than the  $0.3 \times SD$  for method.

9

### Advantage of reporting MU:

- Lab Accreditation (ISO 15189 & 17025).
- Laboratories can compare their (MU) with other laboratories using the same method and other methods.

### Problems:

- The lack of knowledge of the concept of uncertainty and its calculation by laboratories.
- Method Groups for some measurands is less than 5.
- Failure to choose the correct method for examination test by laboratories.

10

## Conclusion and Recommendation

- ▶ Training is required for participating labs on the use and calculation of Uncertainty
- ▶ Eliminating methods with high uncertainty

11

