

Comments and Errata - Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement, 3rd Edition (2012)

Page	Place	Published text	Replacement	Comment
46	Left column, close to bottom regarding $u(m_{\text{KMP}})$	$\sqrt{2 \times (0.09^2)} = 0.13 \text{ mg}$	$\sqrt{2 \times (0.087^2)} = 0.12 \text{ mg}$	0.13 mg is the correct rounded form for the formula $\sqrt{2 \times (0.09^2)} (=0.127)$ However, the value of 0.09 is derived from $0.15/\sqrt{3} = 0.087$ and if this value were used without rounding, the standard uncertainty of the mass of KHP would be rounded to 0.12 mg.
55	Right column – equation at the bottom	$u(V_{\text{HCl}}) = \sqrt{0.0037^2 + 0.008^2 + 0.007^2}$ $\Rightarrow u(V_{\text{HCl}}) = 0.011 \text{ mL}$	$u(V_{\text{HCl}}) = \sqrt{0.008^2 + 0.007^2}$ $\Rightarrow u(V_{\text{HCl}}) = 0.011 \text{ mL}$	Additional term incorrectly inserted in 2012 edition during formula editing. The rounded result of the calculation is unaffected.
66	right column, last line first paragraph	0.373/1.1111	0.377/1.1111	Typographical error

Comments and Errata - Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement, 3rd Edition (2012)

72-80	Example A5	A number of issues affect Example A5, as follows:		
72	Table A5-1 Column standard uncertainty	0.19	0.15	Standard uncertainty should be 0.15 for area not 0.19. (See amended Table A5.1 on page 3 This change carries through to subsequent tables, resulting in a combined standard uncertainty of 0.001438 mg dm ⁻² instead of 0.001465 mg dm ⁻²
72	Table A5-1 Column relative standard uncertainty	0.033	0.026	
72	Table A5-1 Column relative standard uncertainty	0.092	0.095	
77-78	Equations for linear calibration, esp. S_{xx}	Page 78, formula key: i index for the number of calibration standards	i is unused in the published formulae and calculations	<p>The calculations are correctly implemented. However, the explanation of the calculations needs to be improved.</p> <p>The calculations were carried out on the mean results at each concentration of the calibration standard, so that $j=1..5$, rather than over individual observations, and this accounts for the value of 1.5 for S_{xx}. Use of the 15 individual results would lead to a different summed value for S_{xx}, and different values for n in the preceding calculations.</p> <p>This would be clearer if A_j and c_j in the calculation of S were replaced by mean values \bar{A}_i and \bar{c}_i for each concentration.</p>
78	Left column, line 2 and line 3	units of mg L ⁻¹	unit of absorbance	Units of S and S_{xx} are absorbance, not concentration.
78	Section Area a_v	(2.77/2)	(2.70/2)	
78	Section Area a_v	$u(a_v) = \dots = 0.19$	$u(a_v) = \dots = 0.15$	
79	Table A5.3. column stand u	0.19 dm ³	0.15 dm ³	
80	Table A5.4. column E	10.01	5.73	
		0.27	0.15	
		5.92	5.88	
		-0.000483	-0.000384	
		2.34E-07	1.48E-07	

Comments and Errata - Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement, 3rd Edition (2012)

Table A5.1: Uncertainties in extractable cadmium determination

	Description	Value x	Standard uncertainty $u(x)$	Relative standard uncertainty $u(x)/x$
c_0	Content of cadmium in the extraction solution	0.26 mg L ⁻¹	0.018 mg L ⁻¹	0.069
d	Dilution factor (if used)	1.0 ^{Note 1}	0 ^{Note 1}	0 ^{Note 1}
V_L	Volume of the leachate	0.332 L	0.0018 L	0.0054
a_V	Surface area of the liquid	5.73 dm ²	0.19 ₁₅ dm ²	0.033 ₀₂₆
f_{acid}	Influence of the acid concentration	1.0	0.0008	0.0008
f_{time}	Influence of the duration	1.0	0.001	0.001
f_{temp}	Influence of temperature	1.0	0.06	0.06
r	Mass of cadmium leached per unit area	0.015 mg dm ⁻²	0.0014 mg dm ⁻²	0.09 5 ₂

Note 1: No dilution was applied in the present example; d is accordingly exactly 1.0